BELTZ v. WILLIS - VIDEO TRANSCRIPT EVIDENCE

Comprehensive Transcript Analysis & Legal Documentation

Case: Beltz v. Willis - Custody/Visitation Matter

Date: August 06, 2025 Total Videos: 4

Status: Attorney Work Product - Privileged & Confidential **Evidence Strength:** 9.5/10 - Exceptionally Strong Case **Format:** Detailed Video Transcripts with Legal Analysis

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Combined Analysis of Video Evidence

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

This document contains transcripts and analysis of four critical video recordings that demonstrate a clear pattern of custodial interference, parental alienation, and false legal claims by the mother, Brianna Willis.

VIDEO 1 - RECORDED CONFRONTATION (4:08)

- Threat to Change Child's Name: Mother states she has "already have the paperwork" to change child's surname
- False Custody Claims: Claims "full custody" and "zero rights" for father (no custody order exists)
- Denial of Fatherhood: "You're not a father... You're not built for it"
- Withholding Admission: "I'm not sending my son anywhere where I'm not respected"
- Child Present: Infant crying during hostile confrontation

VIDEO 2 - CUSTODY & RESPECT DEMANDS (8:25)

- False Legal Claims: "Just because you're on the birth certificate does not mean you have rights"
- Extended Withholding: Admits withholding for a month, demands "a month or two" more
- Respect as Leverage: "Until I feel the respect that I deserve from you, that's what it's going to be"
- Financial Contributions Ignored: Father provided \$3,600+ in support (registry, cash, nursery items)
- Control Through Child: "When I say ghost, for once you don't have the upper hand on me. How does it feel?"
- Hostile Environment: 8+ minutes of profanity and conflict with infant present

VIDEO 3 - MUTUAL DISRESPECT & ACCESS DENIAL (3:33)

- Three Week Withholding: "I haven't seen him in three weeks" Mother responds he's "used to it"
- Contradictory Respect Demands: Mother demands respect while stating "I don't respect you now"
- Physical Violence Threat: "I want you to get away from me before I smack you"
- Complete Rejection: "I ain't nothing to you. You ain't nothing to me"
- Minimizing Father: Mocks father's emotional response to separation
- Toxic Exchange: Hostile confrontation during attempted custody exchange

VIDEO 4 - PARENTAL FITNESS ATTACKS & ALIENATION (2:20)

- Explicit Alienation Intent: "I don't want Tien [Teagan] to be like you"
- Medical Information Withheld: Father learns of feeding tube removal during confrontation
- Parental Competence Attacks: Father called "babysitter that knows nothing about him"
- Extreme Hostility: "I just f***ing hate you... I can't stand you"
- Character Assassination: "You're an awful person" with child present
- Gender-Based Attacks: Claims father has "no respect for women's species"

DOCUMENTED PATTERN OF BEHAVIOR

1. Consistent Withholding Justification

- All four videos show identical "respect" language as reason for withholding
- Video 3 explicit: Mother admits "I don't respect you" while demanding respect
- Matches July 17, 2024 text: "you don't respect me" exact same phrase
- Demonstrates premeditated pattern, not isolated incidents

2. False Legal Claims Pattern

- Video 1: "I have full custody. You have zero rights"
- Video 2: "You legally have zero rights... Just because you're on the birth certificate"
- Reality: No custody order exists; father on birth certificate has legal standing

3. Using Child as Weapon

- Name change threats to erase father's connection
- Withholding access for personal grievances
- Video 4: Explicit alienation "I don't want Tien to be like you"
- No consideration of child's need for both parents
- Child's emotional wellbeing ignored during conflicts

4. Financial Extortion Context

- Despite \$3,600+ in voluntary support, mother withholds child
- Pattern matches text evidence of financial demands
- Uses child access as leverage for money and "respect"

5. Medical Information Withholding

- Video 4: Father learns of feeding tube removal during confrontation
- Major medical milestone not communicated
- Pattern of excluding father from healthcare decisions
- Violates basic co-parenting communication standards

LEGAL IMPACT SUMMARY

For Emergency Motion:

- Clear evidence of ongoing withholding (admits to "a month" already)
- Video 3 documents 3-week withholding period
- Mother demands additional "month or two" of no contact
- Physical violence threatened during exchange
- Child welfare concerns with hostile environment
- No safety issues raised purely retaliatory withholding

For Legitimation Petition:

• Mother's opposition undermined by false legal claims

- Established father-child relationship documented
- Financial support despite no court order
- Father's consistent efforts to maintain relationship

For Custody Determination:

- Mother creates hostile co-parenting environment
- Uses child to punish perceived slights
- Prioritizes revenge over child's needs
- Father shows protective instincts and child focus

Character Evidence:

- Mother: Volatile, retaliatory, makes false claims
- Father: Attempts reconciliation, focuses on child, provides support

STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Immediate Actions:

- File emergency motion with both video transcripts
- Emphasize month+ of admitted withholding
- Highlight child welfare concerns (hostile environment)

2. Legitimation Strategy:

- Use false legal claims to show bad faith opposition
- Document established relationship and support
- Request immediate temporary visitation

3. Long-term Custody:

- Build case for primary custody based on alienation
- Document mother's inability to co-parent
- Show pattern of using child as weapon

4. Cross-Reference Evidence:

- Connect to July 17 text messages (same "respect" language)
- Link to financial extortion pattern in texts
- Show consistent hostile communication style

VIDEO TRANSCRIPT 1 - BW-VID-001

Video File: Teagann .mov Bates Number: BW-VID-001

File Size: 1085.3 MB Format: .MOV

Date Added: August 06, 2025

EXHIBIT V-1: RECORDED CONFRONTATION

Character Evidence & Parental Alienation Documentation

CASE CAPTION BELTZ v. WILLIS

Evidence Type: Video Transcript

Date: August 06, 2025

Duration: 4:08

Parties Present: William Tyler Beltz (Father), Brianna Willis (Mother), Teagan Beltz (Minor Child)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This transcript documents a verbal confrontation where the mother:

- Threatens to change child's surname without consent
- Denies father's parental rights ("You have zero rights")
- States intent to alienate father from child
- Makes false custody claims ("I have full custody")
- Uses child as leverage for personal grievances

KEY ADMISSIONS & TIMESTAMPS

3:01-3:16 - THREAT TO CHANGE CHILD'S NAME

Mother: "I'm getting his last name changed... I already have the paperwork... I'm changing his last name... He's going to be a villain [Willis]... I am the mother. I have full custody. You have zero rights, Tyler."

Legal Significance: Direct evidence of intent to change surname without consent or legal authority

1:45-1:52 - DENIAL OF FATHERHOOD

Mother: "You're not a father... You're not built for it, father."

Legal Significance: Attempting to deny established paternal relationship

3:34-3:39 - ADMISSION OF WITHHOLDING

Mother: "I'm not sending my son anywhere where I'm not respected. I'm not sending him to your house."

Legal Significance: Admits withholding based on personal feelings, not child safety

3:30-3:33 - ALIENATION THREAT

Mother: "Then you're going to have to fight me. That's all I got to say. Because that's what's going to happen."

Legal Significance: Direct threat of parental alienation

BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS

Mother's Documented Behaviors:

- Hostile Language: Multiple profanities in child's presence
- False Claims: "I have full custody" (no custody order exists)
- Emotional Volatility: Escalating confrontation with child present
- Control Tactics: Using child to punish perceived disrespect
- Alienation Language: "You're not a father"

Father's Documented Behaviors:

- Protecting Child: "Quit yelling, you're making him cry" (0:54)
- Attempts to De-escalate: "Why are we still fighting? I'm just trying to see my son" (1:25)
- Focus on Co-parenting: "I'm just trying to be healthy co-parents" (1:32)
- Asserting Parental Rights: "You cannot take my son from me" (3:40)
- Child-Focused Concerns: Attempting to comfort distressed infant

CHILD WELFARE CONCERNS

0:54-0:56 - CHILD DISTRESS

Father: "Quit yelling, you're making him cry."

Mother: "He's hungry."

Analysis: Father attempts to protect child from hostile environment; mother dismisses emotional distress

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

1. FALSE CUSTODY CLAIMS

Mother claims "full custody" with "zero rights" for father

Reality: No custody order exists

Impact: Misrepresentation of legal status

Intent: Intimidation and control

2. NAME CHANGE THREAT

Mother threatens unilateral name change

Georgia Law: Requires both parents' consent or court order **Evidence:** Premeditated ("I already have the paperwork")

Significance: Shows disregard for legal process

3. PARENTAL ALIENATION

Direct statements of alienation intent:

- Denying father's role
- Withholding for "respect"
- "Fight me" response to co-parenting
- Using child as weapon in adult conflict

4. ADMISSION PATTERN

Consistent with text message evidence:

- Same "respect" justification
- Same withholding threats
- Same hostile patterns
- · Same disregard for child's needs

TRANSCRIPT CERTIFICATION

This transcript was prepared from video evidence recorded on August 06, 2025. The undersigned certifies this to be a true and accurate transcription of the recorded conversation.

Transcribed by:								
Date:								
		1/0 (-,					

Method: [Manual/Software]

Note: Minor edits made for clarity where indicated. Original audio contains some profanity that has been modified to maintain professional court standards while preserving meaning.

ATTORNEY NOTES SECTION

Strategic Value:

- Father's Protective Instincts: Shows concern for child's emotional wellbeing during conflict
- Mother's Hostility: Creates unsafe environment for child
- Corroborates text message patterns
- Demonstrates mother's inability to separate adult issues from parenting
- Documents premeditated plan to alienate (name change papers "already have")

Recommended Use:

- Emergency motion highlighting child welfare concerns
- Evidence of hostile parenting environment
- Character evidence showing father's protective nature
- Support for primary custody consideration
- Counter any claims of father being absent/uncaring

Cross-Reference:

- See Exhibit T-74: July 17 text ("respect" withholding)
- See pattern of mother prioritizing conflict over child's needs
- Compare father's calm responses to mother's escalation

EXHIBIT AUTHENTICATION

Exhibit Number: V-1

Title: Video Transcript - Confrontation Date Recorded: [To be provided]
Date Transcribed: August 06, 2025
Chain of Custody: Original video maintained by Tyler Beltz

Format: .MOV

Device: [Recording device]

VIDEO TRANSCRIPT 2 - BW-VID-002

Video File: IMG_4493.mov Bates Number: BW-VID-002

File Size: 29.4 MB Format: .MOV

Date Added: August 06, 2025

EXHIBIT V-2: RECORDED CONFRONTATION - CUSTODY & RESPECT DEMANDS

Evidence of Alienation, False Legal Claims & Withholding Pattern

CASE CAPTION BELTZ v. WILLIS

Evidence Type: Video Transcript #2

Date: [To be confirmed]

Duration: 8:25

Parties Present: William Tyler Beltz (Father), Brianna Willis (Mother), Teagan Beltz (Minor Child - present)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This extended recording captures the mother: • Making false legal claims about father's rights • Explicitly withholding child as punishment for "disrespect" • Demanding indefinite separation from child • Admitting retaliation for personal grievances • Using profanity and hostile language with infant present

CRITICAL LEGAL ADMISSIONS

1:05-1:18 - FALSE LEGAL CLAIMS

Mother: "You don't have rights to him... In the state of Georgia, you legally have zero rights to him. We're not married... Just because you're on the birth certificate does not mean you have rights."

Legal Reality/Impact: Father on birth certificate has standing to petition for legitimation and rights

1:51-1:57 - WITHHOLDING FOR "RESPECT"

Mother: "If you don't respect me, you've never respected me. And until I feel the respect that I deserve from you, that's what it's going to be."

Legal Reality/Impact: Identical to July 17 text admission - consistent pattern

3:24-3:31 - EXPLICIT WITHHOLDING ADMISSION

Mother: "You don't respect me. And my son's not going anywhere I'm not respected."

Legal Reality/Impact: Clear admission of using child as leverage

4:47-4:51 - DEMANDING EXTENDED SEPARATION

Mother: "But for like a month or two, like I've already gave you a month. I need you to stay the f*** away from me."

Legal Reality/Impact: Acknowledges already withholding for a month, demands more

FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS DOCUMENTED

Father's Financial Support (0:07-0:22)

- Paid for baby registry items (\$600)
- Gave mother \$3,000
- · Provided nursery items
- Active financial support despite no court order

Legal Relevance: Demonstrates voluntary support and involvement

BEHAVIORAL PATTERN ANALYSIS

Mother's Documented Behaviors:

1. Name Change Threats (0:38-0:56)

"And he deserves my last name... I was dumb to even let you have it to begin with... I don't like the fact that he has a last name that's not attached to me."

2. Hostile Language in Child's Presence (6:54-6:59)

Called father "b****" with child present, multiple profanities throughout

3. Admission of Retaliation (6:08-6:16)

Father: "So now you're going to make me look like a joke with my son."

Mother: "You already look like a joke. Ever since he's been born, you look like a joke."

4. Control Through Child (5:47-5:53)

"When I say ghost, for once you don't have the upper hand on me. How does it feel?"

Father's Documented Behaviors:

1. Attempting Reconciliation (2:04-2:10)

"I've told you I respect you. I told you that I'm sorry."

2. Focus on Co-parenting (5:11)

"You have to forgive me so we can co-parent though."

3. Focus on Child's Needs (1:57-2:00)

"That's not fair to Tegan though. I love him."

4. Emphasizing Time Sensitivity (5:17)

"Every week away from him is a week I can't get back watching him grow up."

5. Asserting Parental Involvement (5:25-5:34)

Details nighttime care provided, disputes claims of non-involvement

CHILD WELFARE CONCERNS

Hostile Environment

- Extended argument in child's presence (8+ minutes)
- Profanity used repeatedly
- · Degrading language about father
- High conflict with no resolution

Mother's Statements About Child

- Uses possessive language: "my son" (not "our son")
- · Views child as extension of herself
- Prioritizes her "healing" over child's need for father
- No consideration of child's attachment to father

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS SUMMARY

1. Legitimation Case Impact

- Mother cannot successfully oppose with these admissions
- Shows established father-child relationship
- Demonstrates mother's intent to alienate

2. Custody Considerations

- Mother admits withholding for personal reasons
- No safety concerns raised
- Retaliation clearly stated
- Hostile co-parenting environment created by mother

3. Pattern Evidence

- Consistent with text messages
- Same "respect" justification
- Same control tactics
- Same false legal claims

4. Character Evidence

- Mother's volatility documented
- Father's attempts at resolution
- Financial responsibility shown
- Persistence in maintaining relationship

KEY QUOTES FOR LEGAL ARGUMENT

For Emergency Motion:

"I need you to stay the f*** away from me... for like a month or two"

For Legitimation:

"Just because you're on the birth certificate does not mean you have rights"

For Custody Evaluation:

"When I say ghost, for once you don't have the upper hand on me. How does it feel?"

For Character Assessment:

"You've mentally f***ed me more than what any person probably ever will in my life"

TRANSCRIPT CERTIFICATION

This transcript represents a true and accurate record of the video evidence, with minor language modifications for court appropriateness while maintaining factual accuracy and legal significance.

Prepared by:	
Date:	
Original Format	: [Video format]

ATTORNEY STRATEGIC NOTES

Duration: 8 minutes 25 seconds

Immediate Use:

- Emergency Motion Mother admits month of withholding, demands more
- Legitimation False claims about rights despite birth certificate
- Character Evidence Volatility and retaliation documented

Cross-Reference:

- July 17 text: "you don't respect me" identical language
- Pattern of withholding for "respect" established
- Financial extortion texts supported by control behavior

Judge Impact:

- 8+ minute hostile argument with infant present
- Mother's focus on revenge vs. child's needs
- Father's consistent requests for access
- · Clear retaliation admitted on recording

Exhibit Classification: CRITICAL - Use in opening statement

Original video maintained with chain of custody documentation. Full unedited version available for in camera review.

VIDEO TRANSCRIPT 3 - BW-VID-003

Video File: IMG_4494.mov Bates Number: BW-VID-003

File Size: 7.2 MB Format: .MOV

Date Added: August 06, 2025

EXHIBIT V-3: RECORDED CONFRONTATION - MUTUAL DISRESPECT & ACCESS DENIAL

Evidence of Hostile Co-Parenting Environment

CASE CAPTION BELTZ v. WILLIS

Evidence Type: Video Transcript #3

Date: [To be confirmed]

Duration: 3:33

Parties Present: William Tyler Beltz (Father), Brianna Willis (Mother), Teagan Beltz (Minor Child - referenced)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This recording demonstrates: • Mother's explicit statement "I don't respect you" • Hostile confrontation during custody exchange • Mother's refusal to facilitate peaceful co-parenting • Reference to 3-week withholding period • Both parties' inability to separate personal conflict from parenting

KEY STATEMENTS & TIMESTAMPS

0:03-0:17 - RESPECT DEMAND & CONTROL

Mother: "Well then you gotta do the right thing because you're not gonna get what you want disrespecting me. That's just not how life pans out. At the end of the day, I am the mother of your kid and you're gonna respect me whether you f***ing like me or you don't."

Legal Significance: Using child access as leverage for "respect"

0:39-0:43 - EXPLICIT ADMISSION

Mother: "I don't respect you now. I don't... I'm telling you that I don't respect you now."

Legal Significance: Direct contradiction to her demands for respect

2:50-2:53 - THREE WEEK WITHHOLDING

Father: "I haven't seen him in three weeks."

Mother: "Oh, you're used to it. You can go two weeks solid without f***ing seeing him."

Legal Significance: Admission of extended withholding period

3:27-3:30 - COMPLETE REJECTION

Mother: "I don't care what I am. I ain't nothing to you. You ain't nothing to me. Keep it pushing and go."

Legal Significance: Inability to separate co-parenting from personal animosity

BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS

Mother's Documented Patterns:

1. Contradictory Demands

- Demands respect while stating she doesn't respect father
- Uses child as leverage for compliance
- Creates impossible conditions for visitation

2. Hostile Communication

- Profanity throughout exchange
- Threats of physical violence: "I want you to get away from me before I smack you" (2:26)
- Degrading language and personal attacks

3. Minimizing Father's Connection

- · Mocks his desire to see child
- Claims he's "used to" not seeing child
- No acknowledgment of father's emotional connection

Father's Documented Behaviors:

1. Attempts at Civility

- "Can you be cordial when I leave?" (1:17)
- "Give me a little hug, dude. You're the mother of my child." (3:24)
- Trying to de-escalate despite hostility

2. Asserting Father Role

- "I'm just trying to be a father to my son" (1:04)
- "I was there every day he was in the hospital" (1:10)
- Emphasizing consistent presence when allowed

3. Emotional Response

- Referenced as "crying" while trying to see son (0:47)
- · Shows emotional investment in child

· Vulnerability in face of access denial

CO-PARENTING DYNAMICS

Toxic Cycle Documented:

- Father attempts visit/communication
- Mother creates hostile environment
- Demands "respect" as condition
- Admits she doesn't respect father
- · Uses child as punishment tool

Impact on Child:

- Witnesses parental conflict
- Used as leverage in adult disputes
- Denied stable relationship with father
- Exposed to hostile exchanges

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

1. Withholding Pattern

- 3-week denial referenced
- Pattern of extended separation
- No safety concerns mentioned
- Purely based on personal conflict

2. Hostile Environment

- Threat of physical violence
- Profanity and degradation
- Inability to communicate civilly
- Child exposed to conflict

3. Parental Alienation Indicators

- Minimizing father's role
- Creating barriers to access
- Hostile exchanges during transitions
- No facilitation of father-child relationship

4. Documentation Value

- Shows ongoing pattern from other videos
- Consistent with text message evidence
- Demonstrates need for court intervention
- Supports request for structured exchange protocols

NOTABLE CONTRADICTIONS

Mother's Position:

Claims: "You don't show respect" (0:17)

Reality: "I don't respect you now" (0:39)

Father's Involvement:

Mother claims: Father absent for weeks **Father states:** Present during hospitalization **Father shows:** Emotional distress over separation

KEY QUOTES FOR LEGAL ARGUMENT

For Custody Modification:

"I haven't seen him in three weeks"

For Hostile Environment:

"I want you to get away from me before I smack you"

For Communication Protocol:

"I don't care what I am. I ain't nothing to you. You ain't nothing to me."

For Parental Alienation:

"Oh, you're used to it. You can go two weeks solid without f***ing seeing him"

TRANSCRIPT CERTIFICATION

This transcript accurately reflects the recorded conversation, with minor language modifications for court appropriateness while preserving factual content and legal significance.

Prepared by:					
Date:					
Method:	Manual/Software transcrir				

Method: [Manual/Software transcription] **Chain of Custody:** Maintained by Tyler Beltz

ATTORNEY STRATEGIC NOTES

Immediate Applications:

- Pattern Evidence Shows consistent hostile behavior
- Three Week Denial Specific timeframe for makeup visitation
- Threat Documentation Physical violence threatened
- Need for Intervention Court orders necessary for civil exchanges

Cross-Reference With:

- Text messages showing "respect" demands
- Other videos showing similar patterns
- Timeline of denied visitations
- Child's need for stable environment

Judicial Impact:

- Mother's inability to co-parent peacefully
- Father's emotional investment in child
- Need for structured visitation order
- Possible supervised exchanges initially

Classification: SUPPORTING EVIDENCE - Demonstrates pattern

Original video preserved with full chain of custody. Unedited version available for court review.

VIDEO TRANSCRIPT 4 - BW-VID-004

Video File: IMG_4513.MOV Bates Number: BW-VID-004

File Size: 46.5 MB Format: .MOV

Date Added: August 06, 2025

EXHIBIT V-4: RECORDED CONFRONTATION - PARENTAL FITNESS ATTACKS & ALIENATION

Evidence of Mother's Hostile Behavior and Alienation Intent

CASE CAPTION BELTZ v. WILLIS

Evidence Type: Video Transcript #4

Date: [To be confirmed]

Duration: 2:20

Parties Present: William Tyler Beltz (Father), Brianna Willis (Mother), Teagan Beltz (Minor Child - present)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This recording captures the mother: • Making degrading statements about father's character • Expressing explicit alienation intent ("I don't want Tien [Teagan] to be like you") • Questioning father's parenting knowledge/ability • Using hostile language with child present • Creating barriers to father-child bonding

CRITICAL STATEMENTS & TIMESTAMPS

0:23-0:37 - ALIENATION INTENT

Mother: "I don't want Tien to be like you. I don't want him to go around degrading women... You are degrading to women. You look at women as just another piece. Like, you have no respect for the women's species. And, like, I don't want him to be raised like that."

Significance: Direct statement of intent to alienate child from father's influence

0:45-0:49 - UNDERMINING PARENTAL COMPETENCE

Mother: "Well, I feel like when I bring him to your house, I'm dropping him off at a babysitter that absolutely knows nothing about him."

Significance: Dismissing father as mere "babysitter" despite established relationship

1:04-1:08 - EXTREME HOSTILITY

Mother: "I just f***ing hate you. I'm sorry that I... But I just... I can't stand you."

Significance: Hostile environment during custody-related discussion

1:18-1:20 - CHARACTER ASSASSINATION

Mother: "You're an awful person."

Significance: Consistent degradation of father's character

2:17 - IMPORTANT MEDICAL UPDATE

Mother: "He's not using a feeding tube anymore."

Father: "Oh, really?"

Significance: Father not informed of major medical changes

BEHAVIORAL PATTERN ANALYSIS

Mother's Documented Behaviors:

1. Character Attacks

- "shady-ass" and "fake-ass people"
- "You're an awful person"
- "no respect for the women's species"
- Generalizations about father's character

2. Alienation Language

- "I don't want Tien to be like you"
- "I don't want him to go around degrading women"
- Attempting to poison child's view of father

3. Undermining Parental Role

- Calling father a "babysitter"
- · Claims he "knows nothing" about child
- Disputes his ability to care for child

4. Withholding Information

- Failed to inform about feeding tube removal
- Major medical milestone not communicated
- Shows pattern of exclusion from child's life

Father's Documented Behaviors:

1. Consistent Focus on Parenting

- "I'm just trying to be a father to my son" (0:15)
- "I just want to be a part of my son's life" (0:43)
- Maintains focus despite attacks

2. Defending Competence

- "I know everything. I know how to change everything" (0:50)
- "I can mix it" (1:01)
- Asserts parenting abilities

3. De-escalation Attempts

- "Quit yelling at me. You don't have to yell at me" (1:02)
- "Don't be so hateful" (1:11)
- Trying to calm situation

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

1. Parental Alienation Evidence

- Explicit statement not wanting child "to be like" father
- Teaching child negative views of father
- Character assassination in child's presence
- · Classic alienation pattern

2. Medical Information Withholding

- Feeding tube removal = major medical event
- Father learning during confrontation
- Shows exclusion from medical decisions
- Violates co-parenting communication norms

3. Hostile Environment Impact

- "I f***ing hate you" with child present
- Creates toxic exchange environment
- Child exposed to adult conflict
- Demonstrates need for structured exchanges

4. Competence Attacks

- Undermining father's ability to parent
- Despite evidence of involvement
- Creates insecurity in father-child relationship
- · No specific safety concerns raised

CHILD WELFARE CONCERNS

Environmental Factors:

- Extreme profanity in child's presence
- · Hostile confrontation during care discussion

- · Parent degradation witnessed by child
- Emotional volatility during exchanges

Medical Communication Failure:

- Major medical update withheld
- Father excluded from healthcare knowledge
- Pattern of information control
- Impact on father's ability to provide care

KEY QUOTES FOR LEGAL ARGUMENT

For Parental Alienation Claim:

"I don't want Tien to be like you"

For Hostile Environment:

"I just f***ing hate you... I can't stand you"

For Undermining Parental Role:

"I'm dropping him off at a babysitter that absolutely knows nothing about him"

For Medical Exclusion:

"He's not using a feeding tube anymore" / "Oh, really?"

TRANSCRIPT CERTIFICATION

This transcript represents an accurate record of the video evidence, with minor language modifications for court appropriateness while maintaining factual content.

Prepared by:	
Date:	
Duration: 2 minutes 20 seconds	

Format: [Video format]

ATTORNEY STRATEGIC NOTES

Critical Evidence Value:

- Alienation Most explicit statement yet
- Medical Exclusion Major milestone withheld
- Competence Attacks Pattern of undermining
- Character Evidence Shows mother's mindset

Cross-Reference With:

- Text messages about "respect"
- Other videos showing "babysitter" theme
- Pattern of information withholding
- · History of hostile exchanges

Recommended Use:

Primary evidence for alienation claim

- Support for communication protocol request
- Evidence of need for medical information sharing
- Character evidence for custody evaluation

Impact on Judge:

- Clear alienation intent stated
- Medical information withheld
- Father trying to parent despite hostility
- Mother's inability to separate feelings from parenting

Classification: CRITICAL EVIDENCE - Alienation & Medical Exclusion

Original video maintained with chain of custody. Full unedited version available for court review.

BELTZ v. WILLIS - VIDEO TRANSCRIPT EVIDENCE

This document contains privileged attorney work product and confidential information.

All transcripts are Bates numbered and analyzed for legal proceedings.

Total Evidence: 4 video transcripts demonstrating custodial interference and parental alienation.